

State Bibliographic Standards Meeting-Minutes

[Google folder](#)

January 8, 2025, 2:00pm

Updates

Auto-Graphics Technical Team- 007 field functionality- clarification status?

1. Lisa P. - Will reach out to Joy P. to see if possible to have someone from Auto-Graphics attend a meeting so committee can directly talk to a representative.
 - a. Lynn G.- We were asking for more information because some items were showing up differently in WISCAT than how we would expect them to be labeled based on format such as audio books vs. audio player, etc.
 - b. Lisa P. - Share-it through WISCAT is pulling from individual ILS systems and disparities in those records are causing interesting formats to show up in results.
 - c. Rachel M. - May be good to have libraries state wide annually review their locations to update their listings with WISCAT to review items not for circulation such as Overdrive records.
 - d. Amy G. - Memory serves that WISCAT is very limited when looking at fields. WISCAT can only look at certain fields of the item record and additional information in different data fields outside of that record cannot be pulled by WISCAT.
 - e. Emily V. - Seems that the Z target may have some limitations in pulling from our ILS systems.

Standing Business

- 1) [WICATALOG](#) Best Practices Document
 - a) Music CD Recommendations- 3xx fields
 - i) Lisa P. will reach out to Gina R. (absent) to assure that we covered the topic as wished. RE: Gina R. was looking for more discussion and decision on how to handle in document, which we did do at meeting.
 - ii) Lynn G. - To be consistent specify best practice throughout or appropriate 3xx fields.
 - iii) Jackee J. – Believes that in all the other sections of the document that we have just suggested to “Follow RDA guidelines”.
 - iv) Emily V.- If we have conversation about fixed fields and determine greater detail about those in document does that go against just saying follow RDA or is that different because fixed fields are one thing and RDA is another? RDA is a separate entity of sorts and tends to be more changeable than the MARC fixed fields.
 - v) Lynn G.-Thinks it is okay to be more specific with fixed fields because it more directly affects catalogers statewide because it influences how materials are displayed in WISCAT results. RDA is less impactful on specific search capabilities with WISCAT.
 - vi) Group decided to leave it to “Follow RDA guidelines” for all sections that mention the 3xx fields.

- vii) Lynn G. / Emily V.- As time goes on and people use recommendations we will hopefully hear feedback and adjust recommendations as needed and can add more information on RDA 3xx fields, if needed.
 - viii) Anne P. - In the introduction are we planning to discuss RDA recommendations and that it is outside of our group and changeable?
 - (1) Lynn G. - Thinks we should if we have not because it would help explain why we have a more generic entry for that particular field compared to others.
 - (2) Emily V.- Maybe add to the general guidelines for all kinds of records table and add some more detail and can also place more information about fixed fields there as well.
 - (3) Lisa P. – Place RDA notes in “scope” section to clarify that we have no control over RDA edits and that it changes so we have left the recommendation basic. Include language saying, “for current rules check the RDA website”. Will include appendix with further resources to consult and refer to that in each section as well.
 - (4) Deb F. - Shared chart with good examples of RDA basics for items that could be worth including as part of our recommendations to aide in execution. Group liked the chart and thought it would be smart to replicate it for use with our recommendations.
 - (a) Jackee J. will work on replica chart and add subfield |b to our copy.
 - ix) Jackee J.-[RAILS document](#)- Section on considerations for consortium cataloging still need to discuss as a group and how we would like to handle it in our document.
- b) Video Recording Recommendations-Different title-Visual Media?
- i) Lisa P. - Thoughts about moving away from using “video recording” terminology? Should we just keep it the same since it is the generally accepted terminology?
 - (1) Lynn G. – Liked the idea of trying to go broader with the term used in the document.
 - (2) Amy G. – Tends to use video recordings even though it is a bit outdated because has not be able to find anything better without going beyond scope of what specific material formats are held.
- c) Materials on the Same Bibliographic Record
- i) Paperbacks and Hardcover
 - ii) Multi-disc video recordings
 - (1) Emily V. & Erin E. agreed to work on this section so we can discuss at February meeting. Edits will be directly made in the [WICATALOG](#) Recommendations document for committee review.
 - (2) Deb F. - IFLS went through multiple formats and made set rules for books and have not gone into audiovisual yet. Will merge items instead of keeping on separate records. How many people create new bibs for everything they do?
 - (a) Emily V. - With “FRBR-ized” catalog we are more discerning about not adding ISBNs if not on the record when there is a difference that calls for a separate record because it will still be

grouped in the catalog. Tend to have more records and split up items if the same but different.

- (b) Jackee J. - Local practice is probably going to be a different answer than a statewide-shared discovery layer answer, unless statewide layer is eventually "FRBR-ized".

2) Round Robin

- a) What's new that you would like to share with the group?

Next Meeting Date & Time: February 12, 2025 at 2pm